

CiteProof Adversary Audit Record

Record dbb5baa2554d · A brief with a wrong case, a bad volume, and a changed quote · generated 2026-10-17T11:45:01+00:00 · snapshot fixture-corpus (not the live public corpus)

Summary

5 citations found, 2 quotes found, 2 passages compared, 4 audit findings.

This Audit Record identifies textual and provenance discrepancies between the audited document and the retrieved public sources. It does not assess legal materiality, precedential weight, good-law status, or intent. Database text may differ from official bound reporters; minor differences are reported as source-version differences, never as alterations. Attorney review is required under Rule 11.

Findings, most consequential first

dbb5baa2554d#F001

Altered word

One or more words in the quotation differ from the retrieved source text. This does not assert the difference was intentional.

The brief

516 F.3d 1235 (11th Cir. 2008)

Non-exempt portions of a document must not be disclosed unless they are inextricably intertwined with exempt portions.

The verified source

[N]on-exempt portions of a document must be disclosed unless they are inextricably intertwined with exempt portions.

dbb5baa2554d#F002

Altered word

One or more words in the quotation differ from the retrieved source text. This does not assert the difference was intentional.

The brief

516 F.3d 1235, 1244 (11th Cir. 2008)

[N]on-exempt portions of a document must be disclosed unless they are 'inextricably intertwined with exempt portions.

Pin cite: 1244

The verified source

N]on-exempt portions of a document must be disclosed unless they are inextricably intertwined with exempt portions.

dbb5baa2554d#F003

Citation identity mismatch

The reporter citation resolves, but to a different case than the one named in the brief. This does not assert why they differ.

The brief

738 F.2d 1153 (11th Cir. 1984)

The verified source

Gibbs v. Maxwell House

dbb5baa2554d#F004

Citation not found

The cited authority could not be located in the available public sources. This does not assert the authority does not exist.

The brief

999 U.S. 999 (2099)

The verified source

No counterpart in the retrieved sources. This is an absence of evidence, not evidence of absence.

This record verifies citation existence and quote accuracy against public court records. It does not verify that cited authority supports the proposition for which it is cited, nor whether the authority remains good law. It does not detect all possible citation errors. Attorney review required under Rule 11.

Source note: Sample audit record rendered from the public mixed-brief demo.